

**ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
PLC PILOT PROJECT  
EVALUATION RUBRIC**

Note to reviewers:

In evaluating this application, please keep in mind the goal is to create sustainable PLCs in each school based on a focused implementation. Schools can be at any point along the PLC continuum, and we are committed to working with a wide range of schools throughout this project – large, small, rural, urban, etc.

Please rate each application based on the criteria for each section, and add notes where applicable.

**Introductory Letter**

	<b>0 pts.</b>	<b>1 pts.</b>	<b>2 pts.</b>	<b>3 pts.</b>	<b>Rating</b>
<b>Introductory Letter</b>	Provides little or no evidence in addressing the criteria.	Provides some evidence in addressing criteria.	Provides evidence in addressing criteria	Addresses all criteria and provides clear, consistent, and convincing evidence in regard to criteria	

**Introductory Letter Criteria:**

- Narrative no longer than 2 pages (expected, but will not reduce score)
- Statement of need including student, teacher and community needs
- Demographic information included
- Intended outcomes generally expressed
- Reason for applying written
- Unique characteristics of school or community (optional)

**Reviewer notes:**

## Applicant Needs

	0 pts.	1 pts.	2 pts.	3 pts.	Rating
<b>Needs</b>	Provides little or no evidence in addressing the criteria.	Provides some evidence in addressing criteria.	Provides evidence in addressing criteria	Provides clear, consistent, and convincing evidence and addresses all criteria	

### Needs Criteria:

- Description of current needs of school
- Explanation of what is being done to address those needs
- Documentation as to the successes with strategies being implemented to address needs

### Reviewer notes:

## School Demographic Data

	0 pts.	1 pts.	2 pts.	3 pts.	Rating
<b>School Demographic Data</b>	Provides little or no evidence in addressing the criteria. All criteria may not be addressed.	Provides some evidence of in addressing expected criteria. Evidence may be lacking and/or all criteria may not be addressed	Provides evidence in addressing expected criteria	Provides clear, consistent, and convincing evidence and addresses all expected criteria	

### School Demographic Data Criteria:

- Narrative of complete view of school's culture and surrounding community
- Includes components of data such as, but not limited to:
  - Student numbers
  - Student demographics
  - Free/Reduced lunch percentages
  - Teacher numbers
  - Average tenure of staff
  - Community/Parent/Business stakeholder information

### Reviewer notes:

### Evidence of Readiness for this Initiative

	0 pts.	1 pts.	2 pts.	3 pts.	Rating
<b>Evidence of Readiness</b>	Provides little or no evidence in addressing the criteria. All criteria may not be addressed.	Provides some evidence of in addressing expected criteria. Evidence may be lacking and/or all criteria may not be addressed	Provides evidence in addressing expected criteria	Provides clear, consistent, and convincing evidence and addresses all expected criteria	

#### Evidence of Readiness Criteria:

- Description of current school initiatives
- Statement regarding level of staff buy-in
- Explanation of existing PLC structures already in place in the school

#### Reviewer notes:

### Evidence of Commitment to this Initiative

	0 pts.	1 pts.	2 pts.	3 pts.	Rating
<b>Initiative Commitment</b>	Provides little or no evidence in addressing the criteria. All criteria may not be addressed.	Provides some evidence of in addressing expected criteria. Evidence may be lacking and/or all criteria may not be addressed	Provides evidence in addressing expected criteria	Provides clear, consistent, and convincing evidence and addresses all expected criteria	

#### Initiative Commitment Criteria:

- Description of the level of staff commitment to project
- Explanation of ability and willingness to engage in intensive, onsite professional development
- Statement regarding support from district and other key stakeholders (parents, community)

#### Reviewer notes:

## Evidence of Stakeholder Input and Commitment

	0 pts.	1 pts.	2 pts.	3 pts.	Rating
<b>Stakeholder Commitment</b>	Provides little or no evidence in addressing the criteria. All criteria may not be addressed.	Provides some evidence of in addressing expected criteria. Evidence may be lacking and/or all criteria may not be addressed	Provides evidence in addressing expected criteria	Provides clear, consistent, and convincing evidence and addresses all expected criteria	

### Stakeholder Commitment Criteria:

- Explanation as to the depth and ways that the following are involved in the school:
  - Parents
  - Community
  - Business
- Description of level of district support

**Reviewer notes:**

## Demonstrating Promise and Progress

	0 pts.	1 pts.	2 pts.	3 pts.	Rating
<b>Demonstrating Promise and Progress</b>	Provides little or no evidence in addressing the criteria. All criteria may not be addressed.	Provides some evidence of in addressing expected criteria. Evidence may be lacking and/or all criteria may not be addressed	Provides evidence in addressing expected criteria	Provides clear, consistent, and convincing evidence and addresses all expected criteria	

### Demonstrating Promise and Progress Criteria:

- Description of current organizational assets that will contribute to the overall success (such as committed staff, existing structures, etc.)
- Explanation of how applicant will monitor and celebrate progress

**Reviewer notes:**

### Existing PLC Practices in Place

	0 pts.			3 pts.	Rating
<b>Existing PLC Practices</b>	Provides little or no evidence in addressing the criteria. All criteria may not be addressed.			Provides clear, consistent, and convincing evidence and addresses all expected criteria	

#### Existing PLC Practices Criteria:

Scoring on this section relates only to whether or not the section was addressed. Schools can be anywhere on the continuum, but consideration will be given to providing a narrative of the school's understanding of their current reality in regard to PLC practices.

- These could include the following:
  - Teacher collaboration schedules
  - Student data access
  - Team structures
  - Building leadership team

#### Reviewer notes:

### Sustainability of the Work

	0 pts.	1 pts.	2 pts.	3 pts.	Rating
<b>Sustainability</b>	Provides little or no evidence in addressing the criteria. All criteria may not be addressed.	Provides some evidence of in addressing expected criteria. Evidence may be lacking and/or all criteria may not be addressed	Provides evidence in addressing expected criteria	Provides clear, consistent, and convincing evidence and addresses all expected criteria	

#### Sustainability Criteria:

- Description of strategies/efforts towards continuous improvement
- Documentation of thoughts towards ongoing professional development
- Explanation of building capacity among staff

#### Reviewer notes: